

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,416	08/23/2001	Heiko Malsch	LEAR 0890 PUS	9946
34007	7590 07/16/2003			
BROOKS & KUSHMAN P.C. / LEAR CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
SOUTHFIELI	CENTER TWENTY-SE D, MI 48075	COND FLOOR	BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/938,416	MALSCH ET AL.				
۴.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anthony D Barfield	3636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		I				
Period fo			·				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	<u> April 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
•		re manding in the application	•				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-12,23,24,26-30 and 34-36</u> is/a						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-12,34 and 36 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) 23,24,26-30 and 35 is/are objected to						
Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		•				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rademark Office						

pplication/Control Number: 09/938,416

Art Unit: 3636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who thereof by the applicant for patent.

(2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5,9-12, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller. Muller shows the use of a vehicle seat having a backrest with a head restraint arranged thereon. A spreading lever device (24,25,39,40) is arranged between a front (45) and rear (26) part of the head restraint. Muller further discloses that at least one driving device actuates the spreading lever device in response to a vehicle impact (see Col. 3 lines 42-60). The spreading device comprises first and second levers pivotably connected at a respective linkage point.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/938,416

Art Unit: 3636

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller. Muller shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of an electric motor as a driving device. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the drive device of Muller with an electric motor since applicant has not disclosed that a motorized drive device solves any stated problem and it appears that the drive device of Muller, would perform equally well.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,9-12,23-24,26-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 23-24,26-30 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

, |

Application/Control Number: 09/938,416

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Anthony IP Barfield Rumary Examiner

Art Unit 3636

adb

July 14, 2003